

17.1

# Estimating Volatilities and Correlations

## Chapter 17

Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, 5th edition © 2002 by John C. Hull

17.2

## Standard Approach to Estimating Volatility

- Define  $\sigma_n$  as the volatility per day between day  $n-1$  and day  $n$ , as estimated at end of day  $n-1$
- Define  $S_i$  as the value of market variable at end of day  $i$
- Define  $u_i = \ln(S_i/S_{i-1})$

$$\sigma_n^2 = \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^m (u_{n-i} - \bar{u})^2$$

$$\bar{u} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m u_{n-i}$$

Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, 5th edition © 2002 by John C. Hull

17.3

## Simplifications Usually Made

- Define  $u_i$  as  $(S_i - S_{i-1})/S_{i-1}$
- Assume that the mean value of  $u_i$  is zero
- Replace  $m-1$  by  $m$

This gives

$$\sigma_n^2 = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m u_{n-i}^2$$

Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, 5th edition © 2002 by John C. Hull

17.4

## Weighting Scheme

Instead of assigning equal weights to the observations we can set

$$\sigma_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i u_{n-i}^2$$

where

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 1$$

Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, 5th edition © 2002 by John C. Hull

17.5

## ARCH(m) Model

In an ARCH(m) model we also assign some weight to the long-run variance rate,  $V_L$ :

$$\sigma_n^2 = \gamma V_L + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i u_{n-i}^2$$

where

$$\gamma + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 1$$

Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, 5th edition © 2002 by John C. Hull

17.6

## EWMA Model

- In an exponentially weighted moving average model, the weights assigned to the  $u^2$  decline exponentially as we move back through time
- This leads to

$$\sigma_n^2 = \lambda \sigma_{n-1}^2 + (1-\lambda) u_{n-1}^2$$

Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, 5th edition © 2002 by John C. Hull

17.7

## Attractions of EWMA

- Relatively little data needs to be stored
- We need only remember the current estimate of the variance rate and the most recent observation on the market variable
- Tracks volatility changes
- RiskMetrics uses  $\lambda = 0.94$  for daily volatility forecasting

*Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, 5th edition © 2002 by John C. Hull*

17.8

## GARCH (1,1)

In GARCH (1,1) we assign some weight to the long-run average variance rate

$$\sigma_n^2 = \gamma V_L + \alpha u_{n-1}^2 + \beta \sigma_{n-1}^2$$

Since weights must sum to 1

$$\gamma + \alpha + \beta = 1$$

*Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, 5th edition © 2002 by John C. Hull*

17.9

## GARCH (1,1) continued

Setting  $\omega = \gamma V_L$  the GARCH (1,1) model is

$$\sigma_n^2 = \omega + \alpha u_{n-1}^2 + \beta \sigma_{n-1}^2$$

and

$$V_L = \frac{\omega}{1 - \alpha - \beta}$$

*Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, 5th edition © 2002 by John C. Hull*

17.10

## Example

- Suppose

$$\sigma_n^2 = 0.000002 + 0.13u_{n-1}^2 + 0.86\sigma_{n-1}^2$$

- The long-run variance rate is 0.0002 so that the long-run volatility per day is 1.4%

*Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, 5th edition © 2002 by John C. Hull*

17.11

## Example continued

- Suppose that the current estimate of the volatility is 1.6% per day and the most recent percentage change in the market variable is 1%.

- The new variance rate is

$$0.000002 + 0.13 \times 0.0001 + 0.86 \times 0.000256 = 0.00023336$$

The new volatility is 1.53% per day

*Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, 5th edition © 2002 by John C. Hull*

17.12

## GARCH (p,q)

$$\sigma_n^2 = \omega + \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i u_{n-i}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j \sigma_{n-j}^2$$

*Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, 5th edition © 2002 by John C. Hull*

17.13

## Other Models

- We can design GARCH models so that the weight given to  $u_i^2$  depends on whether  $u_i$  is positive or negative
- We do not have to assume that the conditional distribution is normal

*Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, 5th edition © 2002 by John C. Hull*

17.14

## Variance Targeting

- One way of implementing GARCH(1,1) that increases stability is by using variance targeting
- We set the long-run average volatility equal to the sample variance
- Only two other parameters then have to be estimated

*Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, 5th edition © 2002 by John C. Hull*

17.15

## Maximum Likelihood Methods

- In maximum likelihood methods we choose parameters that maximize the likelihood of the observations occurring

*Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, 5th edition © 2002 by John C. Hull*

17.16

## Example 1

- We observe that a certain event happens one time in ten trials. What is our estimate of the proportion of the time,  $p$ , that it happens?
- The probability of the outcome is
 
$$10p(1-p)^9$$
- We maximize this to obtain a maximum likelihood estimate:  $p=0.1$

*Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, 5th edition © 2002 by John C. Hull*

17.17

## Example 2

Estimate the variance of observations from a normal distribution with mean zero

Maximize: 
$$\prod_{i=1}^n \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi v}} \exp\left(-\frac{u_i^2}{2v}\right) \right]$$

or: 
$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left[ -\ln(v) - \frac{u_i^2}{v} \right]$$

This gives: 
$$v = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2$$

*Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, 5th edition © 2002 by John C. Hull*

17.18

## Application to GARCH

We choose parameters that maximize

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left[ -\ln(v_i) - \frac{u_i^2}{v_i} \right]$$

*Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, 5th edition © 2002 by John C. Hull*

17.19

## How Good is the Model?

- The Ljung-Box statistic tests for autocorrelation
- We compare the autocorrelation of the  $u_i^2$  with the autocorrelation of the  $u_i^2/\sigma_i^2$

*Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, 5th edition © 2002 by John C. Hull*

17.20

## Forecasting Future Volatility

A few lines of algebra shows that

$$E[\sigma_{n+k}^2] = V_L + (\alpha + \beta)^k (\sigma_n^2 - V_L)$$

The variance rate for an option expiring on day  $m$  is

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} E[\sigma_{n+k}^2]$$

*Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, 5th edition © 2002 by John C. Hull*

17.21

## Volatility Term Structures

- The GARCH (1,1) model allows us to predict volatility term structures changes
- It suggests that, when calculating vega, we should shift the long maturity volatilities less than the short maturity volatilities

*Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, 5th edition © 2002 by John C. Hull*

17.22

## Correlations

Define  $u_i = (U_i - U_{i-1})/U_{i-1}$  and  $v_i = (V_i - V_{i-1})/V_{i-1}$

Also

$\sigma_{u,n}$ : daily vol of  $U$  calculated on day  $n-1$

$\sigma_{v,n}$ : daily vol of  $V$  calculated on day  $n-1$

$\text{cov}_n$ : covariance calculated on day  $n-1$

The correlation is  $\text{cov}_n / (\sigma_{u,n} \sigma_{v,n})$

*Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, 5th edition © 2002 by John C. Hull*

17.23

## Correlations continued

Under GARCH (1,1)

$$\text{cov}_n = \omega + \alpha u_{n-1} v_{n-1} + \beta \text{cov}_{n-1}$$

*Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, 5th edition © 2002 by John C. Hull*

17.24

## Positive Finite Definite Condition

A variance-covariance matrix,  $\Omega$ , is internally consistent if the positive semi-definite condition

$$\mathbf{w}^T \Omega \mathbf{w} \geq 0$$

for all vectors  $\mathbf{w}$

*Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, 5th edition © 2002 by John C. Hull*

**Example**

The variance covariance matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0.9 \\ 0 & 1 & 0.9 \\ 0.9 & 0.9 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is not internally consistent