

Ch15 Equivalent Martingale Measures-Applications

9139511 蕭奕融

1

Outline

- Introduction
- The Moment-Generating Function
- Converting Asset Prices into Martingales Stochastic
- The Implied SDEs
- Comparing Martingale and PDE approaches
- Conclusions

2

Introduction

There are two ways of calculating the arbitrage-free price of a European call C_t option written on a stock S_t that does not pay any dividends.

1. The original Black-Scholes approach, where (1) a **riskless portfolio** is formed, (2) a **partial differential equation** in $F(S_t, t)$ is obtained, and (3) the PDE is solved either directly or numerically.
2. The martingale methods, where one finds a “**synthetic**” probability \tilde{P} under which S_t becomes a martingale. One then calculates

$$C_t = E^{\tilde{P}}[e^{-r(T-t)}[\max(S_T - K, 0)]]$$

Again, either analytically or numerically.

3

The Moment-Generating Function

- Now let Y_t be a continuous-time process

$$Y_t \sim N(\mu t, \sigma^2 t)$$

with Y_0 given.

- We define S_t as the geometric process

$$S_t = S_0 e^{Y_t}$$

- The moment-generating function of Y_t is

$$M(\lambda) = E[e^{Y_t \lambda}] = e^{\lambda \mu t + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \lambda^2 t}$$

4

Converting Asset Prices into Martingales

- Recall that the “**true**” distribution of S_t is determined by the distribution of Y_t . Hence, the probability P is given by

$$Y_t \sim N(\mu t, \sigma^2 t), \quad t \in [0, \infty).$$

- We know that because the asset S_t is risky when discounted by the risk-free rate, **it cannot be a martingale**. In fact, because of the existence of a **risk premium**, in general, we have

$$E^P[e^{-rt} S_t | S_u, u < t] > e^{-ru} S_u$$

- And, under the “**true**” probability measure P , the discounted process Z_t defined by $Z_t = e^{-rt} S_t$ cannot be a martingale.

5

Converting Asset Prices into Martingales (cont.)

- Yet, the ideas introduced in Chapter 14 can be used to change the drift of Z_t and convert it into a martingale.

- Now, define a new probability \tilde{P} by $N(\rho t, \sigma^2 t)$, such that the equality $E^{\tilde{P}}[e^{-rt} S_t | S_u, u < t] = e^{-ru} S_u$ is satisfied.

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\tilde{P}}[e^{-rt} S_t | S_u, u < t] &= e^{-rt} S_u e^{\rho(t-u) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 (t-u)} \\ &= e^{-ru} S_u e^{(-r + \rho + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2)(t-u)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can find $\rho = r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2$

6

The Implied SDEs

- Under the “**true**” probability P , the asset price S_t satisfies an SDE :

$$dS_t = [\mu S_t + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S_t] dt + \sigma S_t dW_t \quad t \in [0, \infty).$$

- Under the “**synthetic**” probability P , the asset price satisfies an SDE :

$$dS_t = r S_t dt + \sigma S_t d\tilde{W}_t \quad t \in [0, \infty).$$

- This is an interesting result. The probability that makes S_t a martingale, switches the drift parameter of the original SDE to the risk-free interest rate r .

7

Comparing Martingale and PDE approaches

- Suppose the underlying asset price follows the stochastic differential equation:

$$dS_t = \mu(S_t)dt + \sigma(S_t)dW_t \quad t \in [0, \infty).$$

- Applying Ito's lemma to $e^{-rt} S_t$, we can calculate the SDE followed by $e^{-rt} S_t$.

$$d[e^{-rt} S_t] = S_t d e^{-rt} + e^{-rt} dS_t \quad t \in [0, \infty).$$

$$d[e^{-rt} S_t] = e^{-rt} [\mu_t - r S_t] dt + e^{-rt} \sigma_t dW_t \quad t \in [0, \infty).$$

8

Comparing Martingale and PDE approaches (cont.)

- The Girsanov theorem says that we can find an I_t – adapted process \tilde{W}_t and a new Wiener process X_t such that $d\tilde{W}_t = dX_t + dW_t$

$$d[e^{-rt} S_t] = e^{-rt} [\mu_t - r S_t] dt + e^{-rt} \sigma_t [d\tilde{W}_t - dX_t] \quad t \in [0, \infty).$$

Grouping the terms:

$$d[e^{-rt} S_t] = e^{-rt} [\mu_t - r S_t] dt - e^{-rt} dX_t + e^{-rt} \sigma_t d\tilde{W}_t \quad t \in [0, \infty).$$

- If we define this SDE under the new probability \tilde{P} , \tilde{W}_t will be a standard Wiener process. In addition, \tilde{P} will be a martingale measure if we equate the drift term to zero.

$$dX_t = \left[\frac{\mu_t - r S_t}{\sigma_t} \right] dt$$

9

Comparing Martingale and PDE approaches (cont.)

- Converting $e^{-rt} F(S_t, t)$ into a Martingale:

$$d[e^{-rt} F(S_t, t)] = e^{-rt} [-rF dt + F_t dt + F_s dS_t + \frac{1}{2} F_{ss} \sigma_t^2 dt]$$

Rearranging:

$$d[e^{-rt} F(S_t, t)] = e^{-rt} [-rF + F_t + F_s \mu_t + \frac{1}{2} F_{ss} \sigma_t^2] dt + e^{-rt} \sigma_t F_s dW_t$$

We know $d\tilde{W}_t = dX_t + dW_t$

$$d[e^{-rt} F(S_t, t)] = e^{-rt} [-rF + F_t + F_s \mu_t + \frac{1}{2} F_{ss} \sigma_t^2 - \sigma_t F_s \left(\frac{\mu_t - r S_t}{\sigma_t} \right)] dt + F_s e^{-rt} \sigma_t d\tilde{W}_t$$

10

Comparing Martingale and PDE approaches (cont.)

$$d[e^{-rt} F(S_t, t)] = e^{-rt} [-rF + F_t + F_s r S_t + \frac{1}{2} F_{ss} \sigma_t^2] dt + F_s e^{-rt} \sigma_t d\tilde{W}_t$$

- in order for $e^{-rt} F(S_t, t)$ to be a martingale under the pair \tilde{W}_t, \tilde{P} the drift term of this SDE must be zero. This is the desired result:

$$-rF + F_t + F_s r S_t + \frac{1}{2} F_{ss} \sigma_t^2 = 0$$

11

Conclusions

- There is a certain equivalence between the **martingale approach** to pricing derivative assets and the one that uses **PDEs**.
- It was shown that the martingale approach implies the same PDEs utilized by the PDE methodology. The difference is that, in the martingale approach, the PDE is a **consequence of risk-neutral asset pricing**, whereas in the PDE method, one **begins with the PDEs to obtain risk-free prices**.

12