coupled-mode equation:

$$\frac{dE_+(z)}{dz} = i\delta E_+(z) + i\kappa E_-(z)$$
$$\frac{dE_-(z)}{dz} = -i\delta E_-(z) - i\kappa^* E_+(z)$$

with the Boundary Condition:



$$E_+(z=0) = 1$$

 $E_{-}(z=L)=0$





9, Optimization





- Simulated annealing
- **O**Genetic algorithm
- Penalty function
- Optimal control method
- Matlab built-in routines



Unconstrained optimization

- Golden Search method
- Quadratic approximation method
- Nelder-Mead method
- Steepest Descent method
- Newton method
- Conjugate Gradient method
- Simulated annealing
- Genetic algorithm



Golden Search method

- Bisection method
- Golden Search method
 - Given (a, b, c), where b is a fraction w of the way between a and c,

$$\frac{b-a}{c-a} = w, \qquad \frac{c-b}{c-a} = 1-w$$

Our next trial point x is an additional fraction z beyond b,

$$\frac{x-b}{c-a} = z,$$

where z = 1 - 2w.

Apply the scale similarity for x, the same fraction of the way from b to c as b from a to c,

$$\frac{z}{1-w} = w,$$

one has $w^2 - 3w + 1 = 0$, with the solution $w = \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 0.38197$.



Golden Search method





Newton method

By taking the Taylor series ofr a multi-variable objective function, say two-variables,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}) &\approx f(\mathbf{x}_k) + \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T |_{\mathbf{x}_k} [\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k] + \frac{1}{2} [\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k]^T \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})^T |_{\mathbf{x}_k} + \dots \\ &\approx f(\mathbf{x}_k) + \mathbf{g}_k^T [\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k] + \frac{1}{2} [\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k]^T \mathbf{H}_k [\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k] \end{aligned}$$

where

the gradient vector
$$\mathbf{g}_k = \nabla f(\mathbf{x})|_{\mathbf{x}_k},$$

the Hessian matrix $\mathbf{H}_k = \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})|_{\mathbf{x}_k}.$

The Newton method tries to go straight to the zero of the gradient of the approximate objective function,

$$\mathbf{g}_k + \mathbf{H}_k[\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k] = 0, \qquad \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{H}_k^{-1}\mathbf{g}_k,$$

By the updating rule

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{H}_k^{-1} \mathbf{g}_k.$$



Newton method: example

For the objective function,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 - x_1 x_2 - 4x_1 + x_2^2 - x_2,$$

the gradient function

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \end{bmatrix}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 - x_2 - 4 & 2x_2 - x_1 - 1 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

$$\mathbf{H}_k = \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{X}) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

by the iteration rule

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{H}_k^{-1} \mathbf{g}_k,$$

with the initial guess $\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$, one has the solution for the minimum point $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^T$ within a few iterations.



- All of the optimization methods discussed so far only apply for local extreme, not for global extreme.
- Annealing is the physical process of heating up a solid metal aboe its melting point,
- and then cooling it down so slowly that the highly excited atoms can settle into a (global) minimum energy state, yielding a single crystal with a regular structure.
- Fast cooling by rapid quenching may result in widespread irregularities and defects in the crystal structure, analogous to being too hasty to find the global minimum.



The simulated annealing process can be implemented using the Boltzmann probability distribution of an energy level $E \ge 0$ at temperature T described by

$$p(E) = \alpha \exp(-E/KT)$$

with the Boltzmann constant K and $\alpha = 1/KT$.

- At high temperature the probability distribution curve is almost flat over a wide range of *E*, implying that the system can be in a high energy state as equally well as in a low energy state.
- At low temperature the probability distribution curve gets higher/lower for lower/higher *E*, implying that the system will most probably be in a low energy state,
- but still have a slim chance to be in a high energy state so that it can escape from the local minimum energy state.



- **?** Pick the initial guess \mathbf{x}_0 ,
- Generating a random vector **y** having uniform distribution [-1, +1] and the same dimension as the variable **x**, change the size of step $\Delta \mathbf{x}$ by,

$$\Delta \mathbf{x} = g_{\mu}^{-1}(\mathbf{y}), \qquad g_{\mu}^{-1}(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{(1+\mu)^{|y|} - 1}{\mu},$$

where

$$\mu = 10^{100(k/k_{\rm max})^q}.$$

The quenching factor q > 0 is made small/large for slow/fast quenching.





- As a selection $\Delta \mathbf{x}$ is analogue to the energy state.
- Like the Boltzmann distribution

$$p(E) = \alpha \exp(-E/KT),$$

one has,

$$p(\Delta \mathbf{X}) = \exp[-(\frac{k}{k_{\max}})^q \frac{\Delta f}{|f(\mathbf{X})|\epsilon_f}].$$





$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial z} = \frac{\eta}{2} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} + i\xi_1 \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial T^2} - i\rho_1 A^* B,$$

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial z} = -\frac{\eta}{2} \frac{\partial B}{\partial T} + i\xi_2 \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial T^2} - i\Delta k B - i\rho_1 A^2,$$



F.NTHU





















Genetic Algorithm





- Initialize the Population
- Reproduction by Selection
- Crossover and/or Mutation
- Evalution







An adaptive mutation process : κ with higher fitness value have lower Perturbation (vice versa)







NTHU

Randomly choose one of its (spatial) components $\kappa_{i,j} = \kappa_{i,j} e^{i \varphi_{i,j}}$ (sections) and change it into $\hat{\kappa}_{i,j}$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{\kappa}}_{i,j} = \left| \mathbf{\kappa}_{i,j} \pm \delta \mathbf{\kappa}_{i,j} \right| e^{i(\varphi_{i,j} \pm \delta \varphi_{i,j})}$$

[δκ, δφ: **Perturbations**] are randomly chosen numbers based on an **adaptive** mutation process.





E.NTHU



PT-5260, Spring 2006 – p.23/27





Constrained optimization

- Lagrange Multiplier method
- Penalty Function method







Lagrange Multiplier method for FBG



